#### Optimal Pinging Frequencies in the Search for an Immobile Beacon

David Eckman

Cornell University, ORIE dje88@cornell.edu

Lisa Maillart

University of Pittsburgh, IE maillart@pitt.edu **Andrew Schaefer** 

Rice University, CAAM andrew.schaefer@rice.edu

May 22, 2017

## Motivation

Deep sea searches for missing aircraft

- Air France Flight 447 (2009)
- Malaysia Airlines Flight 370 (2014)

Flight data recorder (FDR)

- AKA "black box"
- Keeps electronic record of aircraft operations
- Extremely important to follow-up investigations

## Finding the Flight Data Recorder

Each FDR is equipped with an underwater locator beacon (ULB).



Figure: Flight recorder (orange box) with ULB (silver cannister).

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

ANALYSIS

END MATTER

3/28

#### Underwater Locator Beacon

How the ULB works:

- Activates when submerged in water
- Produces ultrasonic pings (roughly once per second)
- Battery life of  ${\approx}30$  days once activated

Finding the FDR before the ULB's battery dies is critical

- Other search methods are less effective and/or slower
- E.g., high altitude fly-overs, side-scan sonar searches

#### Finding the Underwater Locator Beacon

Ocean-surface search vessels

- · Pass over search area on parallel runs
- Drag a towed pinger locator (TPL)  $\approx$ 1000 ft above ocean floor
- Move slowly (2-3 mph) and turn slowly (3-8 hrs)

-	
·	
	*
<u> </u>	
	*

Figure: Search path over a rectangular section of the search area.

#### Search with Towed Pinger Locator

#### **Black box finder**



#### Figure: Ocean-surface search using towed pinger locator.

DEEP SEA SEARCH

Model

ANALYSIS

END MATTER

## After Detection

Once pings are detected

- Perpendicular runs to box in FDR position
- Triangulation
- Recovery by diver, submersible, or remote-operated vehicle

## Beacon Design

Proposed recommendations by governing bodies:

- 1. Add a second beacon with
  - lower frequency of sound
  - increased range of detection (8 miles vs 2.5 miles)
- 2. Extend battery life from 30 days to 90 days

A less costly alternative:

Modify the beacon's pinging period-time between successive pings

#### Beacon Design Question

Need to determine the pinging period before other search parameters (e.g., search speed) are known.

Is the industry-standard pinging period...

- …too short?
- …too long?
- ...just right?

Method: develop a simplified search model to get a first-order answer.

#### Existing Search Models

We adapt the linear search problem (LSP) for an immobile object.



Figure: LSP with distribution of hidden object.

#### **Novelties**

- 1. Object is intermittently detectable
- 2. No switching/turning
- 3. Search vessel speed is selected from known distribution

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL 00000 ANALYSIS

END MATTER 10/28

## Our Model

#### Assumptions

- Linear search problem (by unfolding parallel runs)
- Definite range law
  - P(Detect ping) = 1 if within range during ping
- A single search vessel
- The search terminates once a ping is detected

## Notation

- [0, L]: search space represented as an interval
- B: location of beacon
- r: radius of detection
- n + 1: number of pings (including at time 0)
- v: search speed
- $\tau$ : pinging period

#### Fixed Search Speed $\nu$



Figure: Search on [0, L] under three settings of the pinging period  $\tau$ .

MODEL 00000 ANALYSIS

END MATTER 13/28

## Probability of Detection

Assume B uniformly distributed on [0, L].

Let  $\theta(\nu,\tau)$  denote the probability of detection for a search speed  $\nu$  and pinging period  $\tau.$ 

#### Objective

Find  $\tau^*$  that maximizes  $\theta(\nu, \tau)$ , assuming  $\nu$  is fixed and known.

#### Two Cases

- **1.** Sufficient pings:  $n \ge \frac{L-r}{2r}$ 
  - Enough pinging instances to search entire interval [0, L].
- **2.** Insufficient pings:  $n < \frac{L-r}{2r}$

MODEL



# Sufficient Pings



Figure: The probability of detection as a function of the pinging period.

$$\tau^* = \left[\frac{L-r}{n\nu}, \frac{2r}{\nu}\right]$$

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

Analysis

END MATTER 16/28

## **Insufficient Pings**



Figure: The probability of detection as a function of the pinging period.

$$\tau^* = \left[\frac{2r}{\nu}, \frac{L-r}{n\nu}\right]$$

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

Analysis

END MATTER 17/28

#### Two Search Speeds

Suppose search speed has distribution

$$\nu = \begin{cases} \nu_1 & \text{w.p. } \lambda \\ \nu_2 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \lambda \end{cases}$$

for  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and  $\nu_1 < \nu_2$ .

$$E_{\nu}[\theta(\nu,\tau)] = \lambda \theta(\nu_1,\tau) + (1-\lambda)\theta(\nu_2,\tau).$$

#### Objective

Find  $\tau^*$  that maximizes  $E_{\nu}[\theta(\nu, \tau)]$ , assuming  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$ , and  $\lambda$  are fixed and known.

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

ANALYSIS

END MATTER 18/28

# **Sufficient Pings**

Assume  $\nu_1 < \frac{L-r}{2rn}\nu_2$ , otherwise  $\tau^* = 2r/\nu$  is optimal.



Figure: Expected probability of detection (red line) as a function of the pinging period for two speeds with  $\lambda = 1/2$ . From picture,  $\tau^* \in \left[\frac{2r}{\nu_2}, \frac{L-r}{n\nu_1}\right]$ .

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

Analysis 000000000000 END MATTER 19/28

#### Solution to 2-Speed Problem

#### Theorem

There exists a threshold  $\tilde{\lambda}$  such that

$$\tau^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2r}{\nu_2} & \text{(left endpoint)} & \text{for } \lambda \leq \tilde{\lambda}, \\ \frac{L-r}{n\nu_1} & \text{(right endpoint)} & \text{for } \lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}. \end{cases}$$

An explicit expression for  $\tilde{\lambda}$  in terms of the search speeds  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  can be easily solved.

## Sketch Proof



Figure: Linear upper bounds on probability of detection (dashed blue line) and expected probability of detection (solid blue line). Tight at endpoints.

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

ANALYSIS

END MATTER 21/28

# More about $\tilde{\lambda}$

#### Corollary

When the faster search speed  $\nu_2$  is as or more likely than the slower search speed  $\nu_1$ , the optimal pinging period is the longest period that ensures no intervals are left undetected between pings; i.e.,  $\tau^*=2r/\nu_2.$ 

That is,  $\tilde{\lambda} \ge 1/2$ .

#### Insufficient Pings

Harder case because the linear upper bound may not exist.

#### Proposition

If the faster search speed  $\nu_2$  is more likely than the slower search speed  $\nu_1$ , i.e.,  $\lambda < 1/2$ , then  $\tau^* = \frac{L-r}{n\nu_2}$ .

#### Three or more search speeds

Closed-form solutions are harder to come by, but using a one-dimensional optimization algorithm is always an option.

## Case Study: MH370

- Disappeared over Indian Ocean
- Preliminary air and sea searches found no matching debris
- Revised search area of 85,000 sq-miles wherein ocean depth is between 10,000–15,000 ft



#### Figure: Search area for MH370.

DEEP	Sea	Search
00000000		

MODEL

ANALYSIS

END MATTER 25/28

# Case Study: MH370

Conservative estimates for variables

- Shortest range of detection: r = 0.6 miles
- Fastest search speed:  $\nu = 5.8$  mph

# Calculation $\tau^* = \frac{2r}{\nu} = \frac{2(0.6 \text{ miles})}{5.8 \text{ mph}} = 12.4 \text{ minutes}.$

Industry standard pinging period:  $\tau = 1.1$  seconds.

#### Difference in magnitude of $\approx 700!$

DEEP SEA SEARCH

MODEL

ANALYSIS

END MATTER 26/28

## Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grants CMMI–1100082, CMMI–1100421, CMMI–1333758, and CMMI–1400009 as well as Research Experience for Undergraduates supplements to National Science Foundation grants CMMI–1131172 and CMMI–1333758.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

#### Sources

#### Images

- Black Box and ULB: http://www.radiantpowercorp.com/ wp-content/uploads/2015/07/mounted\_beacon.jpg
- TPL: https://static.guim.co.uk/ni/1395851242682/ Black-box-finder\_WEB.svg
- MH370 Search Area: https://www.extremetech.com/ wp-content/uploads/2016/07/SearchArea.png

References

• Optimal pinging frequencies in the search for an immobile beacon. Eckman, D., Maillart, L., and Schaefer, A. *IIE Transactions*, 48(6): 489–500. 2016.